(*del Radiology)

David A. Lynch, MD, William D. Travis, MD, Nestor L. Müller, MD, PhD, Jeffrey R. Galvin, MD, David M. Hansell, MD, Philippe A. Grenier, MD and Talmadge E. King, Jr, MD

July Review

Idiopathic Interstitial Pneumonias: CT Features¹

Idiopathic interstitial pneumonias comprise usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP), nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP), desquamative interstitial pneumonia (DIP), respiratory bronchiolitis-associated interstitial lung disease (RB-ILD), cryptogenic organizing pneumonia (COP), acute interstitial pneumonia (AIP), and lymphoid interstitial pneumonia (LIP). Each of these entities has a typical imaging and histologic pattern, although in practice the imaging patterns may be variable. Each entity may be idiopathic or may be secondary to a recognizable cause such as collagen vascular disease or inhalational exposure. The diagnosis of idiopathic interstitial pneumonia is made by means of correlation of clinical, imaging, and pathologic features. The characteristic computed tomographic (CT) features of UIP are predominantly basal and peripheral reticular pattern with honeycombing and traction bronchiectasis. NSIP is characterized by predominantly basal ground-glass opacity and/or reticular pattern, often with traction bronchiectasis. DIP and RB-ILD are smoking-related lung diseases characterized by ground-glass opacity and centrilobular nodules. COP is characterized by patchy peripheral or peribronchovascular consolidation. AIP manifests as diffuse lung consolidation and ground-glass opacity. LIP is associated with a CT pattern of groundglass opacity sometimes associated with perivascular cysts.

(*del Radiology) Gary M. Israel, MD and Morton A. Bosniak, MD

© RSNA, 2005. 1 From the Department of Radiology, New York University Medical Center, New York, NY. Received February 4, 2004; revision requested April 13; revision received May 20; accepted July 1. Address correspondence to G.M.I., Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Yale University School of Medicine, P.O. Box 208042, 333 Cedar St, New Haven, CT, 06520 (e-mail: gary.israel@yale.edu).

August Review

How I Do It How I Do It: Evaluating Renal Masses¹

With modern computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging equipment, the diagnosis of most renal masses is usually straightforward and accurate. The major question to be answered is whether the mass

[©] RSNA, 2005. 1 From the Department of Radiology, University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, CB A-030, 4200 E Ninth Ave, Denver, CO 80262 (D.A.L.); Departments of Pulmonary and Mediastinal Pathology (W.D.T.) and Radiology (J.R.G.), Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington, DC; Department of Radiology, Vancouver General Hospital, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada (N.L.M.); Department of Radiology, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, England (D.M.H.); Department of Radiology, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France (P.A.G.); and Department of Medicine, San Francisco General Hospital, San Francisco, Calif (T.E.K.). Received October 15, 2003; revision requested January 12, 2004; revision received April 29; accepted July 29. Address correspondence to D.A.L. (e-mail: david.lynch@uchsc.edu).

represents a surgical or nonsurgical lesion or, in some cases, if follow-up studies are necessary. This evaluation usually can be accomplished if a high-quality examination is performed, if the clinical history of the patient is kept in mind, if conditions that mimic a renal neoplasm are considered and excluded, and if there is an awareness of the potential pitfalls and limitations of CT and MR imaging. In this article, the authors present their technique in the performance of CT and MR imaging examinations, summarize their approach to the diagnosis of renal masses, review the imaging findings in these lesions, and stress the limitations in renal mass diagnosis.

(*del Radiology) Lane F. Donnelly, MD

© RSNA, 2005. 1 From the Department of Radiology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, 3333 Burnet Ave, MLC 5031, Cincinnati, OH, 45229-3039. Received February 16, 2004; revision requested April 21; final revision received July 19; accepted August 4. Address correspondence to the author (e-mail: Lane.Donnelly@cchmc.org).

September Review

How I Do It Obstructive Sleep Apnea in Pediatric Patients: Evaluation with Cine MR Sleep Studies1

Cine magnetic resonance (MR) imaging sleep studies have become a useful tool in the evaluation of obstructive sleep apnea in children with certain categories of pathologic conditions. In this article, the author describes a program for the use of cine MR sleep studies in the evaluation of children with obstructive sleep apnea. The following areas are discussed: clinical indications, patient preparation, anatomic considerations, MR technique, technical issues, image interpretation, commonly encountered diagnoses, volume segmentation processing of data, and controversial areas.